1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	December 19, 2012 - 1:35 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire
5	
6	NHPUC JAN24'13 PM 4:10
7	RE: DE 12-341 GRANITE STATE ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES:
8	Annual Retail Rate Adjustment Filing.
9	PRESENT: Commissioner Michael D. Harrington, Presiding
10	Commissioner Robert R. Scott Chairman Amy L. Ignatius
11	
12	Sandy Deno, Clerk
13	
14	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Granite State Electric Company d/b/a Liberty Utilities:
15	Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq.
16	Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Rorie E. P. Hollenberg, Esq.
17	Office of Consumer Advocate
18	Reptg. PUC Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.
19	Grant Siwinski, Electric Division
20	
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24	

i		
1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: JOHN D. WARSHAW SCOTT M. McCABE	
5	SCOII M. MCCABE	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Knowlton	5
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Amidon	10
8	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott	19
9	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Harrington	21
10		
11	* * *	
12		
13	EXHIBITS	
14	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
15	1 Granite State Electric Company d/b/a Liberty Utilities January	4
16	2013 Retail Rate Filing, including the Testimony and Schedules of	
17	Meera B. Reynolds and John D. Warsh (11-27-12)	ıaw
18	(11 27 12)	
19	* * *	
20	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
21	Ms. Amidon	22
22	Ms. Knowlton	22
23		
24		

{DE 12-341} {12-19-12}

1 PROCEEDING

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Today we're here on DE 12-341, Granite State Electric Company/Liberty Utilities proposed retail rate adjustments for 2013. On November 27, 2012, Granite State Electric Company filed its request for approval of retail rate adjustments related to its Stranded Cost Charge, Transmission Service Charge, for approval of a GreenUp Service Recovery Adjustment factor for effect with service rendered on and after January 1st, 2013. Granite State calculated the aggregate impact of the rate changes on an average monthly residential bill, 665 kilowatt-hours, to be \$1.59, or a monthly increase of 1.73 percent, over the current bill of \$92.14 to \$93.73.

Okay. With that, we'll take appearances.

MS. KNOWLTON: Good afternoon,
Commissioners Harrington and Scott. My name is Sarah
Knowlton. And, I'm here today on behalf of Granite State
Electric Company d/b/a Liberty Utilities.

MS. AMIDON: Good afternoon. Suzanne

Amidon, for Commission Staff. And, with me today is Grant

Siwinski with the Electric Division.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: So, no one has

1	changed their since the last docket. I was just checking.
2	MS. HOLLENBERG: If I might just comment
3	just for the record. My name is Rorie Hollenberg. We
4	haven't participated, the OCA has not participated
5	formally. And, I'm here monitoring the hearing. Thank
6	you.
7	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
8	And, is there any administrative matters?
9	MS. KNOWLTON: The Company would propose
10	to mark for identification as "Exhibit 1" its November
11	27th, 2012 January 2013 Retail Rate Filing.
12	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. So marked.
13	(The document, as described, was
14	herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for
15	identification.)
16	CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, Ms. Knowlton.
17	MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. The Company
18	calls two witnesses today, John Warshaw and Scott McCabe.
19	(Whereupon John D. Warshaw and
20	Scott M. McCabe were duly sworn by the
21	Court Reporter.)
22	MS. KNOWLTON: Good afternoon,
23	gentlemen.
24	JOHN D. WARSHAW, SWORN

[WITNESS PANEL: Warshaw~McCabe] 1 SCOTT M. McCABE, SWORN 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KNOWLTON: 3 4 Mr. Warshaw, I'll start with you. Would you please Q. 5 state your full name for the record. (Warshaw) John D. Warshaw. 6 Α. 7 By whom are you employed? Q. 8 (Warshaw) I am employed by Liberty Utilities New Α. 9 Hampshire Corp. 10 What is your position with the Company? Q. 11 (Warshaw) I'm Manager of Electric Supply. Α. 12 Are you familiar with the document that we've marked Q. today as "Exhibit 1"? 13 14 (Warshaw) Yes. 15 And, that document contains the Testimony and Schedules Q. 16 of Meera B. Reynolds and John D. Warshaw. Do you have 17 that before you? 18 Α. (Warshaw) Yes, I do. 19 With regard to the portion of that document that Q. 20 contains your prefiled direct testimony and schedules, would you please indicate whether that was prepared by 21 22 you or under your direction?

A. (Warshaw) Yes, it was.

23

24

Q. Do you have any corrections to that testimony?

- A. (Warshaw) Yes, I have one correction. On Bates stamp

 101, Line 11, in the middle of that line it has

 "Schedule JDW-5", it should read "Schedule JDW-4".

 And, that is the only correction I have.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any updates to this testimony?

- A. (Warshaw) Yes, I do have an update. In my development of the transmission costs for 2013, I had made reference that Granite State would be getting a refund as part of the agreement between Constellation Energy and FERC. And, that refund was for \$144,563.03. And, that refund actually was included in the most recent ISO invoice that was received by Granite State on Monday. It was a credit on the invoice of exactly the same value. And, as a result, those -- that credit will be refunded to customers as part of the rate that we're proposing for 2013.
- Q. Mr. Warshaw, could you please summarize the material parts of your testimony for the Commission.
- A. (Warshaw) Sure. I was responsible for developing the forecast of the transmission rates that Granite State Electric would be paying for the year 2013. And, those transmission rates are made up of three major factors.

 One is the ISO -- the ISO-New England Tariff Schedule 21, which provides for LN -- Local Network Service from

- NEP. The second part is the ISO-New England Tariff

 Section II charges, which provides for, among other

 things, Regional Network Service, Black Start Service,

 System Rest -- System Reactive Supply and Voltage

 Service. And, then, finally, there also is the charges

 for ISO-New England administrative charges.
- Q. Does your testimony contain any forecast of expenses for the next year?
- 9 A. (Warshaw) Yes.
- Q. Okay. And, could you just generally describe what the result of that forecast is?
- 12 A. (Warshaw) We are forecasting that, for 2013, the transmission expenses will total \$17,010,901.
- 14 Q. And, is that an increase or a decrease over --
- 15 A. (Warshaw) That is an increase of \$1,610,230, from the estimate that was prepared in -- for 2012.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. McCabe, would you please state your full name for the record.
- 19 A. (McCabe) Scott McCabe.
- 20 Q. And, by whom are you employed?
- 21 A. (McCabe) National Grid.
- Q. And, do you have before you what we've marked for identification as "Exhibit 1", the prefiled testimony of Ms. Reynolds and Mr. Warshaw?

- 1 A. (McCabe) Yes, I do.
- 2 Q. And, are you here today adopting Ms. Reynolds'
- 3 testimony?
- 4 A. (McCabe) Yes.
- MS. KNOWLTON: And, I actually just
- 6 would like to inform the Commission that Ms. Reynolds is
- 7 ill and not able to be here today. So, we've asked
- 8 Mr. Warshaw to -- excuse me, Mr. McCabe to come today in
- 9 her stead. And, I would like to thank him for that.
- 10 WITNESS McCABE: You're welcome.
- 11 BY MS. KNOWLTON:
- 12 Q. Mr. McCabe, what is your position with National Grid?
- 13 A. (McCabe) I'm a Lead Specialist in the Regulation and
- 14 Pricing Department for National Grid.
- 15 | Q. And, are you familiar with Granite State's Retail Rate
- 16 Filing that's being presented today?
- 17 A. (McCabe) Yes, I am.
- 18 Q. Did you have any role in the development of
- 19 Ms. Reynolds' portion of the testimony?
- 20 A. (McCabe) I did. I reviewed her testimony, as well as
- 21 her schedules.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you have any corrections or updates to that
- 23 testimony and the accompanying schedules?
- 24 A. (McCabe) I do not.

- Q. Have you participated in the past in prior dockets
 related to Granite State's annual retail rate filing?
- 3 A. (McCabe) Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. So, you have some history in this area?
- 5 A. (McCabe) Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And, can you give a general overview for the Commission of the various reconciliations and adjustments that are set forth in Ms. Reynolds' testimony and schedules?
- 9 A. (McCabe) Sure. There are three reconciliations that
 10 are presented. And, they're presented in accordance
 11 with the adjustment provisions of the Company's tariff.
 12 And, the three reconciliations have to do with stranded
 13 cost recovery, transmission service recovery, as well
 14 as GreenUp Service.
 - Q. And, can you -- would you be able to just indicate for each one what the -- what, you know, what the ultimate conclusion of the reconciliation is, whether it's an increase or decrease, you know, by the particular adjustment?
 - A. (McCabe) Sure. As depicted on Bates stamp Page 007, at the bottom of the page, there's a table which summarizes the proposed rate changes. And, for the Stranded Cost Charge, the proposed rate changes from 0.90 cents per kilowatt-hour to 0.15 cents per

1	kilowatt-hour; the Transmission Service Charge, which
2	is actually a it is a rate class specific charge,
3	and the number provided the numbers provided on the
4	second line of that table are at the Company average
5	level, and that's there was an increase from 1.554
6	cents per kilowatt-hour to 1.860 cents per
7	kilowatt-hour; and, finally, the Company is proposing a
8	credit factor of 0.001 cents per kilowatt-hour for the
9	GreenUp Service reconciliation. And, currently, there
10	is no charge for GreenUp Service.
11	MS. KNOWLTON: The Company has no
12	further questions for the witnesses and would make them
13	available for cross-examination.
14	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Ms. Amidon.
15	MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Good afternoon.
16	WITNESS McCABE: Good afternoon.
17	WITNESS WARSHAW: Good afternoon.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. AMIDON:
20	Q. Mr. Warshaw, I just wanted to follow up on a couple
21	things. I may have some additional questions for you.
22	But you've mentioned the what is called on Page
23	Bates stamp 110, the "ISO-New England Disgorgement Fund
24	Credit". And, your testimony said that that was based

{DE 12-341} {12-19-12}

- on an agreement that Constellation had with the ISO, is that what I understood?
 - A. (Warshaw) Actually, it was an agreement between

 Constellation and the FERC for a \$20 million penalty,

 refund that Constellation made. And, as a result of

 that, a portion of that refund went to all of the New

 England states. And, there's a stipulation that it was

 entered into between all of the New England states,

 about how much each state was going to receive. And,

 then, within each state, a stipulation on which

 companies would receive that refund and how that refund

 would be provided to electric customers in New England.
 - Q. I understand that this -- this table on Page 110, that takes into account that credit, is that right?
- 15 A. (Warshaw) Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And, your testimony said that this is a "one-time credit"?
- 18 A. (Warshaw) Yes.
- Q. And, then, is it fair to say that it only flows through
 the transmission side of things or is there any
 additional money that Granite State will receive
 through this credit?
- A. (Warshaw) It is my understanding that this is the one-time credit, and it will only be through the

- 1 transmission rates.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- 3 A. (Warshaw) And only for 2013.
- Q. Thank you. I just wanted to clarify that. On the transmission forecasts, please excuse me, but I couldn't tell if you said that the 17 million was a
- 7 1 million increase or decrease?
- 8 A. (Warshaw) It was a 1.6 million increase.
- 9 Q. And, that was from the previous estimates for 2013, is that right?
- 11 A. (Warshaw) That's from the previous estimate in 2012.
- Q. Gotcha. Okay. Thank you. Mr. McCabe, it's always good to see you.
- 14 A. (McCabe) Nice to see you.
- Q. You pointed to Page 007, the Bates stamp Page 007,
- which is Page 3 of Ms. Reynolds' testimony that you've
- adopted, so we could see the various elements in this
- part of the filing. Now, the Stranded Cost Charge, if
- I understand correctly, is the charge that is related
- 20 to the contract termination charges from
- 21 reorganization, is that -- is that too simple of a
- 22 summary?
- 23 A. (McCabe) That's correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. And, National Grid files what they call a

- "Contract Termination Charge Report" with the

 Commission separate from this docket, is that right?
- 3 A. (McCabe) That is correct.
- Q. And, did you have a chance to review whether, for example, the Stranded Cost Charge of 0.150 cents is what you would -- is confirmed by that CTC Report?
- A. (McCabe) Yes. Actually, I have the report in front of
 me, on the -- it's called the "Reconciliation of

 Contract Termination Charges to Granite State Electric
 Company". And, I believe it was filed -- it was filed
 on December 3rd with the Commission. And, if I turn
 to, and I realize folks don't have it probably in front
 of them, but --
- Q. Well, that's why I just -- it's a separate docket, is that right?
- 16 A. (McCabe) Right.
- 17 Q. Is it 12-348, is that --
- 18 A. (McCabe) Yes. I believe so, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- A. (McCabe) And, in the report, on Bates stamp Page 005,
 in the "Summary of Results" section, the statement is
 that "NEP", who is "New England Power", "CTC to Granite
 State for the calendar year 2013 will be 0.15 cents per
 kilowatt-hour." So, it confirms, it's consistent with

the charge that is included in the testimony in Exhibit 1.

- Q. And, if I understand it correctly, that amount does not include the nuclear decommissioning charge for 2013, is that right?
- A. (McCabe) Yes, that's correct. The estimate for nuclear decommissioning charges included for 2013 is zero.

 And, that's in accordance with various settlements with FERC, and which guide the calculation of the base CTC charge.
- Q. And, do you have any knowledge as whether Granite State will be assessed any nuclear decommissioning charges in the future under the CTC?
 - A. (McCabe) Yes. Granite State continues to be assessed nuclear decommissioning charges. And, for instance, built into the rates for 2013 are actually nuclear decommissioning charges for Yankee, for the period ending September 2012. And, the charge for 2013, which I guess looks back retroactively, includes an estimate of -- or, actuals of \$11.3 million of nuclear decommissioning charges, of which Granite State receives 3 percent. So, I believe that's about -- a total of about \$340,000 allocated to Granite State Electric.

- 1 Q. And, those are in the rates?
- 2 Α. (McCabe) Yes. Those are built into the rates. And,
- 3 they come through the reconciliation of actual charges.
- 4 And, I'd be happy to point to the schedule, if folks
- 5 are interested.
- 6 Could you point that out to us please? Q.
- 7 (McCabe) Sure. On Bates stamp Page 033, there's a Α.
- column, and it's Column 7, which includes, and it says 8
- "Collection of Prior Year Balance Including Interest". 9
- 10 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Excuse me. My Bates
- 11 stamp Page 033 is like a title page.
- 12 WITNESS McCABE: Oh, I'm sorry,
- 13 Commissioner. I'm referring to the CTC Report.
- 14 MS. AMIDON: Oh, you're referring to the
- 15 CTC?
- 16 WITNESS McCABE: Yes.
- 17 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Oh. Okay.
- 18 WITNESS McCABE: And, so, I apologize
- for the confusion. As I mentioned, I don't think folks 19
- 20 necessarily have it in front of them. So, if this is an
- 21 exercise I don't need to go through, I'd be happy to --
- 22 MS. AMIDON: I'm sorry. I thought it
- 23 was in this filing, too. So, I apologize. We don't need
- 24 to go into that, that separate filing.

- 1 WITNESS McCABE: Okay.
- 2 MS. AMIDON: Thank you. I didn't
- 3 realize it was a separate filing. I apologize.
- 4 BY MS. AMIDON:
- Q. And, so, will there be, in the future, do you expect to
- 6 continue to see that Granite State is assessed these
- 7 nuclear decommissioning costs?
- 8 A. (McCabe) Yes. They will. The forecast for
- 9 decommissioning costs are similar to the level that was
- included in the 2013 rate. And, again, the charges
- will come through as actuals, and be reflected in the
- 12 following year's charge.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 A. (McCabe) You're welcome.
- 15 Q. So, Mr. Warshaw, hopefully, I won't confuse you. I
- have a couple questions on Page Bates stamp 095, which
- is Page 9 of your testimony. And, in the answer that
- 18 you provide at Lines 12 through 15, you reference --
- says "Granite State is subject to a Specific
- 20 Distribution Surcharge for use of certain NEP
- 21 facilities". Do you see where I am?
- 22 A. (Warshaw) Yes.
- 23 Q. Is that Specific Distribution Surcharge, is that a
- 24 Transmission Charge?

- 1 A. (Warshaw) Yes, it is.
- 2 Q. So, it's FERC tariffed?
- 3 A. (Warshaw) Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. So, if I go to your Exhibit 4, which looks like
- 5 it's at Bates stamp 121, is that -- that's the number
- 6 then that appears at the column that's identified as
- 7 for "Specific Distribution Surcharge", is that right?
- 8 A. (Warshaw) Yes. That would be Column (4).
- 9 Q. All right. And, do other utilities associated with or
- affiliated with NEP also pay this Distribution
- 11 Surcharge?
- 12 A. (Warshaw) Yes. It's in their tariff. There's a number
- of utilities that do pay that.
- 14 Q. Okay. And, you don't know what those costs might by
- the utility, do you? Or, do you only know for your own
- 16 company?
- 17 A. (Warshaw) Actually, it's a uniform rate that all of the
- 18 utilities that are subject to that surcharge pay.
- 19 Q. Okay. Great. Also, on -- going back to Page Bates
- 20 stamp 095, Page 9 of 17, in the last sentence there you
- 21 talk about other "generation customers of NEP". Which
- 22 utilities do you -- fall into this category of
- "generation customers of NEP"?
- 24 A. (Warshaw) This is the result of the sale of Granite

1 State by National Grid to Liberty Utilities. 2 because of the way National Grid and, prior to that, 3 NEES, had developed their system, it was -- there are a number of facilities that were shared by Granite State 4 5 and NEP to provide service to customers, among them 6 adjoining utilities and generation customers. 7 of the sale, NEP wanted -- NEP was going to continue to have these as customers of them. But, because they 8 9 were using Granite State facilities, a credit was 10 developed between National Grid and Liberty to account 11 for the use of those facilities.

- Q. Okay. And, is that just subject to the agreement or is there a tariff regarding that?
- 14 A. (Warshaw) It's part of a filed service agreement
 15 between NEP and Granite State.
- Q. Thank you. And, just to circle back, you indicated, I believe, maybe it was in Ms. Reynolds' testimony, that the rate impact is an increase of approximately 1.73 percent, is that right?
- 20 A. (McCabe) That's correct.
- 21 Q. Overall?

12

- 22 A. (McCabe) That's correct.
- Q. And, that's taking both the stranded cost portions and those associated costs and the transmission costs, and

- 1 that's the overall bill impact, right?
- 2 A. (McCabe) That is correct.
- MS. AMIDON: Okay. Thank you. I have
- 4 no further questions. Thank you, gentlemen.
- 5 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Commissioner Scott.
- 6 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. Good afternoon
- 7 again.
- 8 WITNESS WARSHAW: Good afternoon.
- 9 CMSR. SCOTT: To one of you, and
- 10 welcome.
- 11 WITNESS McCABE: Good afternoon.
- 12 BY CMSR. SCOTT:
- 13 Q. I have some questions on your GreenUp Service. I was
- curious the number of participants you have in the
- 15 Company for that?
- 16 A. (Warshaw) I just had that out. Currently, Granite
- 17 State is, excuse me, has 111 customers on GreenUp as of
- 18 the end of November 2012. And, that's down from 129
- 19 customers at the beginning of 2012.
- 20 Q. Okay. Thank you. And, looking at your filing, it
- 21 appears that the administrative costs of that program
- 22 is basically spread out among all your Default Service
- customers, is that correct?
- 24 A. (Warshaw) Yes.

- Q. Okay. And, if it were, for instance, to be instead divvied up among those 111 customers, do you have an idea how much that would be?
 - A. (Warshaw) Not off the top of my head, no.

- Q. Let me ask a different question. I'm just trying to -I wonder how the cost-effectiveness of the program, I
 know the statute requires an RES offering. If I look
 at 374-F:3 requires an offering. I was just curious
 if, let's say that the administrative costs, would they
 be different if it was 111 people participating or
 zero, for instance, would it be the same costs?
- A. (Warshaw) It would be the same costs whether there's

 111 customers or one or two customers, we still have to

 manage the program, work with the NEPOOL GIS system to

 accept RECs and transmit information back and forth

 between Granite State and the GreenUp suppliers. So,

 it does -- there's a little bit of administrative

 requirements. And, I would believe that, if those

 costs were put directly to just the GreenUp customers,

 it would make this a very expensive service.
- 21 Q. And, how much are those administrative costs?
- A. (Warshaw) I apologize. We forecast that to be approximately \$1,200.
- Q. Okay. And, my final question on that is, has the

1	Company entertained what a maybe establishing a
2	minimum number of participants or a minimum load for
3	such a program?
4	A. (Warshaw) We haven't determined any specific direction
5	on that, but we are looking into what to do with the
6	GreenUp Program going forward.
7	CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you. That's
8	all I have.
9	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: No questions. Thank
LO	you.
L1	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Not too many
L2	questions.
L3	BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:
L4	Q. Sort of one generic, sort of an oddball question. On
L5	the Black Start Program, I understand the ISO has been
L6	introducing a new change to the program on that. Is
L7	the costs associated with that predicted to go up for
L8	2013 or was it where is it heading, trending with
L9	the new program that's been implemented?
20	A. (Warshaw) I know they changed the way they're making
21	payments on the Black Start Program. I'm just at a
22	loss whether there would be an increased cost or not.
23	So, without that knowledge, we basically used last 2012

24

to forecast 2013.

1	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. All right. I
2	was just wondering. Okay. That's the only question I
3	had. Redirect?
4	MS. KNOWLTON: I have none.
5	CMSR. HARRINGTON: The witnesses are
6	dismissed. If there's no objection, we will make the
7	exhibit a full exhibit. And, we'll move onto closings.
8	MS. AMIDON: Thank you, Commissioner
9	Harrington. Staff has reviewed the filing, and concluded
10	that the Company has appropriately calculated the Stranded
11	Cost Charge and the transmission-related charges in a
12	manner consistent with which the Company has calculated
13	this in the past. And, we also think that the forecasts
14	are reasonable. And, therefore, we recommend that the
15	Commission approve the Petition.
16	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
17	Ms. Knowlton.
18	MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. Based on the
19	testimony of Mr. McCabe and Mr. Warshaw, the Company asks
20	that the Commission approve the Retail Rate Reconciliation
21	filing in its entirety. The Stranded Cost Charge that's
22	proposed is a derivative of the CTC filing that National
23	Grid has made, and Mr. McCabe has confirmed that the
24	Company has incorporated the appropriate number from that

23

1 filing. The transmission adjustments is based on charges that flow through FERC-approved tariffs down to Granite 2 3 State. And, the testimony today is that has been done correctly and consistently with prior methods that had 4 5 been used. 6 With regard to the GreenUp, there is a 7 credit that is coming -- there's a refund that's coming back to customers for some administrative expense that had 8 9 been incurred associated with the program, and the Company 10 will be taking into consideration, as we move forward in 11 the next year, how and whether to make any changes to the GreenUp Program. And, certainly, you know, if that's an 12 13 area that the Commission has any quidance that it wants to 14 offer the Company, we certainly would be happy to 15 entertain any thoughts that you may have about that. 16 Thank you. 17 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Two last things, I 18 guess. One, I don't want to forget the RSA 378:41,

"Conformity of the Plan". Can you, one of the witnesses, or --

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. KNOWLTON: Mr. Warshaw could answer that question, if you'd like to direct it to him.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. In accordance with 378:41, with the plan, is the rate increase here in

1	conformance with the Least Cost Plan most recently filed
2	and found adequate by the Commission?
3	WITNESS WARSHAW: Yes.
4	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
5	And, one last issue. Do you need this do you need an
6	order by Friday as well?
7	MS. KNOWLTON: Well, we don't have that
8	same five-day turnaround requirement. Though, the rate
9	does take effect we're asking that it take effect
10	January 1st, 2013. So, shortly, so that we can get, you
11	know, do the necessary customer billing changes. Doesn't
12	have to be Friday, though.
13	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Priority two, but
14	high.
15	MS. KNOWLTON: Exactly. Thank you.
16	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Having said that,
17	we'll take it under advisement and we'll get back to you
18	with an order. Thank you.
19	MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you.
20	(Whereupon the hearing ended at 2:03
21	p.m.)
22	
23	
2.4	